[redacted]

From: [redacted]
Sent: 16 September 2026 06:27
To: Crown Casework
Cc: calum.miller.mp@parliament.uk; wpwsobjection@gmail.com
Subject: Objection to planning application PCU/RARE/C3105/3378843, Site A, MOD Bicester

[redacted]

Dear Secretary of State,

I am writing as a member of the community local to the MOD Bicester Site A project to object to planning application PCU/RARE/C3105/3378843, the proposed 1,256-person asylum accommodation centre at Site A, MOD Bicester, Bicester Garrison, Launton Road, Oxfordshire, OX25 1AE.

I believe that planning permission should be refused unless certain key changes are accounted for.

The security of the site and impact on local communities has largely been ignored. Local police are tiny and stretched, will 999 call out times as long as 20 mins, with often only 1 or 2 officers able to respond. There is not enough capacity to deal with the statistical likelihood of violent and criminal behaviour perpetrated by even a small percentage of migrants and communities will be left to fend for themselves. Individuals will be free to roam and explore the local area. Make it gated or restrict their freedom of movement to limit risk in communities.

The transport limitations raise serious concerns. The Transport Assessment repeatedly refers to the wrong road, while the B4011 serving the site has no pedestrian infrastructure, a 60mph speed limit, heavy traffic and a recorded history of serious collisions.

The application has not demonstrated a confirmed permanent solution for essential infrastructure such as electricity and wastewater. A development accommodating up to 1,256 will cripple the already dated and stretched infrastructure at the expense of local residents.

The proposal is also exceptionally large for an isolated rural location with no surrounding infrastructure, no public transport and few services within walking distance.

I am concerned that the extremely short representation period does not provide sufficient time for residents, councils and technical specialists to properly examine such a substantial and complicated application. The application documents run to well over 1,000 pages across multiple technical disciplines, and it is not reasonable to expect them to be read and properly considered in so short a time. Ordinary planning applications carry a minimum 21 day period, and the standard Crown Development route prescribes 21 days at every stage, so I ask that the representation period be extended to at least 21 days from 7 September 2026, to Monday 28 September 2026, since the 21st day falls on a Sunday.

This site has also previously been considered for asylum accommodation. A smaller proposal was refused by an independent Planning Inspector before subsequently being cancelled.

I therefore respectfully request that planning permission be refused.

If the Secretary of State is nevertheless minded to approve the application, I ask that no decision is made until outstanding work concerning drainage, flooding, wastewater, contamination, ecology, highways, pedestrian safety, power supply, lighting, noise, fire safety and decommissioning has been completed and made available for proper scrutiny.

Yours faithfully,

[redacted]